

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/754,341	01/05/2001	Junji Miyata	Q62470	3667	
7:	590 08/19/2002				
	MION, ZINN, MACI	EXAMINER			
2100 Pennsylva WASHINGTO	nnia Avenue, N.W. N, DC 20037		DEXTER, CLARK F		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
-			3724		
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. **09/754,341**

Applicant(s)

Miyata et al.

Examiner

Clark F. Dexter

Art Unit **3724**

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address		
	or Reply					
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		•			
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	no event, however, ma	y a reply b	e timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nd will expire SIX (6) Note application to become	MONTHS fr B ABANDO	om the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			· ·		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under $\it Ex$ particles.	•		·		
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 💢	Claims 1-8	are :	subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accepted	or b)[\supset objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held	l in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗌 a	pproved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office acti	on.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) 🕽	∄ All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:					
	1. $oxed{oxed}$ Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received				
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received	in App	olication No		
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure. 	au (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).	_		
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified copie	s not re	eceived.		
14) 🗀	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
	The translation of the foreign language provisiona					
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 U.S.	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm		41 🗀 🕳		1440) P. N. (.)		
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	_		0-413) Paper No(s)		
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
		-, <u> </u>				

Application/Control Number: 09/754,341 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1 and 2, drawn to cutting apparatus with a specific co-use ascending/descending guide means configuration, classified in class 83, subclass 613.
 - II. Claims 1 and 3-8, drawn to a cutting apparatus with a hydraulic drive means, classified in class 83, subclass 639.1.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4-8 have been restricted such that the patentability of the invention is presumed to lie in the details of the particular group (e.g. the specific co-use ascending/descending guide means of Group I). It is noted that if claim 1 as originally filed is part of an elected group and determined to be patentable, rejoinder of claims 1, 2 and 4-8 will be considered. It is further noted that claim 1 is listed as part of groups I and II but is not considered to be part of either of these groups. Rather, claim 1 recites subject matter that is common to both groups and has been shown as part of each group for clarity (i.e., so that it is clear which claims are part of which group). Further, because claim 1 includes subject matter that is common to both groups, it is not considered to be independent or distinct from either of the groups.

 Therefore, claim 1 will be examined upon election of one of the groups.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 3724

- 4. Inventions of group I and group II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, the specific co-use ascending/descending guide means configuration of group I could be employed without the hydraulic drive means of group II; and conversely, the hydraulic drive means of group II could be employed without the specific co-use ascending/descending guide means configuration of group I. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Species

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species A - Figures 1-3;

Species B - Figure 4;

Species C - Figures 5-8;

Species D - Figure 9;

Species E - Figure 10; and

Application/Control Number: 09/754,341

Art Unit: 3724

Species F - Figure 11.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1, as best understood, appears to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.



Creation date: 11-16-2004

Indexing Officer: ATRAN2 - AI-FUONG TRAN

Team: OIPEBackFileIndexing

Dossier: 09754341

Legal Date: 09-19-2002

No.	Doccode		Number of pages
1	A		2

Total number of pages: 2

Remarks:

Order of re-scan issued on